



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/824,445

04/15/2004

Kyung-Shik Lee

1572.1199

6610

21171 7590 02/18/2010
STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

TAN, ALVIN H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/18/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/824,445	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner ALVIN H. TAN	Art Unit 2173	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 and 31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 and 31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. Claims 1-29 and 31 have been examined and rejected. This Office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 10/23/09, which has been entered in the above identified application.

Specification

2. The correction(s) to the specification have been approved, and the objections to the specification are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The correction(s) to claims 1, 2, 29, 31 have been approved, and the rejections to claims 1-21, 29, and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are withdrawn.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the displayed display setting adjustment windows" in *[lines 10-11]*. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claim only recites "display a displaying setting adjustment window" in *[line 7]*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 7, 8, 22, 29, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000), herein after 3Dlabs, and Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029).

7-1. Regarding claims 1, 22, and 29, 3Dlabs teaches a user interface to change a display setting of a picture area of a display apparatus to one of a plurality of display settings, which communicates with an external device, by disclosing *[page 19, figure]* which shows an interface for configuring the display of a monitor. The monitor is connected to a workstation *[page 11]*.

3Dlabs teaches the claim comprising executing a display setting program in response to a request by a user to execute the display setting program, by disclosing

Art Unit: 2173

executing the display setting program shown on *[page 19]* by going to Settings/Control Panel/Display.

3Dlabs teaches displaying a main window in response to the executing according to the user, including a step start button to adjust the plurality of display settings sequentially and menu buttons to separately adjust display setting groups including the plurality of display settings, by disclosing *[page 19]* which shows a main window with a button labeled "Config Wizard" that activates a step-by-step interface to help modify a current display configuration or create a new one *[page 18, "Configuring the Video Display"]* as well as tabs to separately adjust resolution and color.

3Dlabs teaches selecting one of the step button and the menu buttons and displaying a setting adjustment window corresponding to the selected button, by disclosing *[pages 20, 26]*.

3Dlabs teaches issuing a command from the external device in correspondence to adjusting the displayed display setting adjustment windows and setting the display setting in correspondence to the command, by disclosing using the selected tab to adjust the resolution, color depth, and refresh rate *[page 20]* as well as using the configuration wizard to modify display settings *[page 18]*.

As per claim 22, the display apparatus must inherently contain a microprocessor in order to display the wizard window.

3Dlabs does not expressly teach executing a display setting program based on extended display identification data (EDID) stored in the display apparatus. Ross discloses that a display data channel (DDC) monitor stores information regarding the

Art Unit: 2173

capabilities of the monitor in a data format called an extended display identification (EDID) *[column 1, lines 10-16]*. A system setup program (SSP) allows configuration of the display settings for a DDC monitor *[column 3, lines 44-47; column 4, lines 38-41]*. When the SSP is executed, the available settings are based on the EDID stored in the monitor *[column 5, lines 1-13]*. The EDID standard is used to promote greater monitor/host computer compatibility *[column 1, lines 16-18]*. Since 3Dlabs discloses changing display settings of a display apparatus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the EDID standard for storing information about the monitor and using that information when executing a display setting program, as taught by Ross. This would promote greater monitor/host computer compatibility.

7-2. Regarding claim 7, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim wherein at least one of the display setting adjustment windows comprise an undo button to undo the display setting adjustment, by disclosing a cancel button as shown in *[3Dlabs, page 20, figure]*.

7-3. Regarding claim 8, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim wherein at least one of the display setting adjustment windows comprise a reset button to return the display setting to a default setting, by disclosing that the user can select a default Direct3D setting *[page 25, "EnablingDirect3D Optimizations"]*.

Art Unit: 2173

7-4. Regarding claim 31, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim wherein the display setting adjustment window comprises a plurality of setting buttons corresponding to the display settings, by disclosing *[3Dlabs, page 20, figure]*.

3Dlabs and Ross teach the method further comprising activating one of setting buttons corresponding to the capable setting of the display apparatus based on the EDID, by disclosing that when setting up a display device, information such as refresh rate and resolution are obtained based on the EDID *[Ross, column 4, lines 14-18; line 52 to column 5, line 28]*.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), and Narui (U.S. Patent No. 6,278,433 B2).

8-1. Regarding claim 2, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim wherein the display settings includes at least two of a picture position, a color temperature, a resolution, clock and phase, a contrast, and a brightness, by disclosing changing the resolution and color *[3Dlabs, page 20]*.

Although 3Dlabs and Ross disclose using a wizard to modify the current display configuration *[3Dlabs, page 18]*, 3Dlabs and Ross do not expressly teach the displaying display setting adjustment window comprises sequentially displaying display setting adjustment windows to adjust at least two of a picture position, a color temperature, a resolution, clock and phase and a brightness if the step button is selected. Narui

Art Unit: 2173

discloses a sequence of windows for adjusting monitor parameters including resolution, brightness, size, center position, and tilt position [*Narui, column 3, lines 33-49*]. This provides a user with a convenient way of adjusting monitor settings. Since 3Dlabs and Ross disclose adjusting display settings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the wizard of 3Dlabs and Ross, adjusting resolution, brightness, size, center position, and tilt position, as taught by Narui. This would provide a more convenient way of adjusting monitor settings.

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. (“Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User’s Guide”, 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), and Naito (U.S. Patent No. 6,693,629 B1).

9-1. Regarding claim 3, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim as recited in claim 1. 3Dlabs and Ross further teach the claim wherein the display setting adjustments are selected from a color temperature adjustment and a resolution adjustment, by disclosing adjusting the resolution and color [*3Dlabs, page 20*].

3Dlabs and Ross do not expressly teach a picture position adjustment, clock and phase adjustments, a contrast adjustment, and brightness adjustment. Naito teaches allowing users to adjust and save settings for a display device [*column 2, line 62 to column 3, line 3*]. Users can adjust parameters including clock and phase, position, contrast, brightness, and color [*column 8, lines 27-38*]. This allows users to adjust the image quality of the display device as they see fit. Since 3Dlabs and Ross teach

Art Unit: 2173

adjusting a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include options to adjust a picture position, clock and phase, contrast and brightness, as taught by Naito. This would give the users additional settings for adjusting the image quality of the display device as they see fit.

10. Claims 4-6 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), and Applicant's admission of prior art.

10-1. Regarding claims 4 and 5, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim as recited in claim 1. Although 3Dlabs and Ross disclose saving a display configuration at the end of the Wizard [*page 25, "Enabling application-specific optimizations", step 4; page 26, step 6*], 3Dlabs and Ross do not expressly teach wherein a last display setting adjustment window in the sequence includes a button to open an adjustment save window to save adjusted display settings. The statement that it is common for programs to include a save menu button for opening a save window to save program data is taken to be admitted prior art because Applicant has failed to traverse the Examiner's assertion of official notice. See MPEP 2144.03 C. Save menu buttons can be seen in many commonly used Windows applications such as Microsoft Word and Microsoft Excel. This provides users with an intuitive way to save data to a file. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a button to open a save window to save the adjusted display settings since Applicant

Art Unit: 2173

admits that it is common for programs to include a save menu button for opening a save window to save program data. Such a menu button would provide the user with an intuitive way to save data to a file.

10-2. Regarding claim 6, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim further comprising opening the file and adjusting a display setting on the basis of the saved adjusted display setting in the opened file, by disclosing that the user can modify existing configurations *[page 18, "Using the Wildcat Configuration tab", step 4]*.

10-3. Regarding claim 23, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim as recited in claim 22. Although 3Dlabs and Ross teach a display monitor *[3Dlabs, page 11]*, 3Dlabs and Ross do not expressly teach a scalar to fit the digitalized signal to the size of an LCD panel and transmitting the digitalized signal to a panel driving part operating the LCD panel. The statement that liquid crystal displays (LCD) are commonly used as a display device for computers is taken to be admitted prior art because Applicant has failed to traverse the Examiner's assertion of official notice. See MPEP 2144.03 C. LCDs are lighter in weight than CRT monitors. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an LCD monitor as the display device since Applicant admits that LCD monitors are commonly used as display devices. This would provide a lightweight alternative to the traditional CRT displays. The LCD monitor would inherently comprise an A/D converter, a scalar, a backlight, and a power supply.

10-4. Regarding claim 24, 3Dlabs and Ross teach a graphic controller connected to the interface, by disclosing *[3Dlabs, page 3]*.

3Dlabs and Ross teach a stored display setting program to provide the main window, to issue the command, by disclosing *[3Dlabs, page 19]*.

It is inherent that the display setting program transmits the command and a programming signal to provide the main window to the graphic controller, which converts the programming signal into a video signal, and the graphic controller transmits the converted video signal and the command to the display apparatus through the interface.

10-5. Regarding claim 25, the microprocessor of 3Dlabs inherently controls the A/D controller, the scalar, and the panel driving part according to commands from the graphic controller.

10-6. Regarding claim 26, 3Dlabs and Ross teach wherein when a user selects an item with an input unit, the display setting program issues a corresponding command to the graphic controller to adjust a corresponding display setting, by disclosing that when a user changes a setting for the display device, a corresponding display setting is changed *[3Dlabs, page 20]*.

11. Claims 9-11, 14, 17, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's

Art Unit: 2173

Guide", 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), Fukumoto et al (Pub. No. US 2002/0054146 A1), Naito (U.S. Patent No. 6,693,629 B1), and McLaughlin et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,739,809).

11-1. Regarding claim 9, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim wherein the menu button is plural in number, by disclosing various tabs in the interface [*3Dlabs, page 20, figure*].

3Dlabs and Ross disclose a display button corresponding to a resolution setting [*3Dlabs, page 20*], but do not expressly teach a display button corresponding to a first setting group including a resolution setting, a brightness setting, a contrast setting, and a clock and phase setting and a geometry button corresponding to a second setting group including a position setting. Fukumoto discloses a menu that is organized in a way that groups related items together. As shown in [*Fukumoto, figure 2*], separate menus are displayed that relate to the display (image quality) and geometry (image quality mode) of the display device. Providing the hierarchical menu and grouping the items in this way allows users to be able to more intuitively select a specific item to adjust. Although the image quality menu of Fukumoto teaches a brightness setting, it does not explicitly teach a resolution and contrast setting. However, Fukumoto does teach allowing the user to customize menus in whatever way the user sees fit as shown in [*Fukumoto, figures 7A-7C*]. The list of settings provided for selection relates to adjustable settings for a display and includes contrast. Thus, the user is fully capable of creating a display button for grouping specific settings selected. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the customized menu system as

Art Unit: 2173

taught by Fukumoto, to organize menus for grouping related display settings including resolution as taught by 3Dlabs. Such a combination would yield a predictable result. Thus, settings for the resolution, brightness, and contrast would be grouped together in a menu. This would allow users to more intuitively select a specific item to adjust.

3Dlabs, Ross, and Fukumoto do not expressly teach the display button including a clock and phase setting. Naito teaches allowing users to adjust and save settings for a display device [*column 2, line 62 to column 3, line 3*]. Users can adjust parameters including clock and phase [*column 8, lines 27-31*]. This gives the user an additional setting for adjusting the image quality of the display device. Since 3Dlabs, Ross, and Fukumoto teach adjusting a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the menu relating to the display, a clock and phase adjustment, as taught by Naito. This would give the users an additional setting for adjusting the image quality of the display device as they see fit.

3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, and Naito do not expressly teach a color button corresponding to a third setting group including a calibration setting and a color temperature setting. McLaughlin teaches a method and apparatus for calibrating a display device [*column 1, lines 10-16*]. A color button item relating to adjusting color is provided [*figure 3; column 9, line 56 to column 11, line 7*]. This provides the user with an additional setting for adjusting the display device. Since 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, and Naito teach adjusting a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the hierarchical menu, a

Art Unit: 2173

color button for adjusting a calibration setting and a color temperature setting, as taught by McLaughlin. This provides the user with an additional setting for adjusting the display device.

11-2. Regarding claim 10, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim wherein when the display button is selected, a display adjusting window is opened, the display adjusting window comprising a resolution button corresponding to the resolution setting, a brightness button corresponding to the brightness setting, a contrast button corresponding to the contrast setting, and a picture setup button corresponding to the clock and phase setting, is opened, by disclosing that when the image quality menu button is selected from the main menu, an image quality submenu is displayed on the screen along with buttons to allow users to adjust the items contained within the submenu [*Fukumoto, paragraphs 35-37*]. As discussed in claim 9, the image quality submenu would contain a resolution, brightness, contrast, and clock and phase adjustment setting.

11-3. Regarding claim 11, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim wherein when one of the buttons is selected, an adjusting window, in which a display setting adjustment corresponding to the selected button is made, is opened, by disclosing opening windows to adjust a display setting [*McLaughlin, figures 5-8*]. Rather than adjusting values within the submenu, opening a new window to adjust display settings allows more screen real estate for providing various controls in making the

Art Unit: 2173

adjustment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to open an adjusting window when one of the buttons is selected, as taught by McLaughlin. This would allow more screen real estate for providing various controls in making the adjustment.

11-4. Regarding claim 14, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim wherein when the geometry button is selected, a geometry setting window, including a position button, corresponding to the second setting group, is opened, by disclosing image quality mode submenu including buttons to allow users to adjust the position of the display device [*Fukumoto, figure 2*].

11-5. Regarding claim 17, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim wherein when the color button is selected, a color adjusting window, including a calibration button corresponding to a calibration setting and a color temperature button corresponding to a color temperature of the picture displayed by the display apparatus, is opened, by disclosing that the hierarchical menu would have a color button item relating to adjusting a calibration setting and color temperature as discussed in claim 9 above.

11-6. Regarding claim 18, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim wherein when a user selects the color temperature button, a color temperature adjusting window, in which a color temperature of a picture displayed by the display

Art Unit: 2173

apparatus is adjusted, is opened, by disclosing a color button item relating to adjusting color is provided that, when selected, opens a window for adjusting color settings

[McLaughlin, figures 3, 6; column 9, line 56 to column 11, line 7].

11-7. Regarding claim 20, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim wherein the adjusting window comprises an undo button to undo a color temperature adjustment, by disclosing a cancel button that returns any adjusted values to their previously saved values *[McLaughlin, column 11, lines 8-14].*

3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach an animation window to show an animation of a color temperature adjustment, by disclosing that the main display displays current settings of the color as they are adjusted by the user *[McLaughlin, column 10, lines 29-32].*

3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin disclose providing pre-set recommended adjustments to the various settings of the display device *[3Dlabs, page 25, "Enabling application-specific optimizations"]*. Since color temperature is one of the settings adjustable by the user *[McLaughlin, column 15, lines 21-36]*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include displaying recommended values, as taught by 3Dlabs, for color temperature.

12. Claims 12, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), Fukumoto et al (Pub. No. US

Art Unit: 2173

2002/0054146 A1), Naito (U.S. Patent No. 6,693,629 B1), McLaughlin et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,739,809), and Yamamoto (U.S. patent No. 6,343,147 B2).

12-1. Regarding claim 12, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim as recited in claim 11. 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin do not expressly teach wherein the adjusting window comprises a pattern activating button to open a pattern window having an image which is changed as the display setting adjustment are made in the adjusting window. Yamamoto teaches an image processing method and apparatus for adjusting settings of an image [*column 2, lines 27-32*]. Users can adjust settings of the monitor and preview the adjusted settings [*figures 7, 6*]. This allows the user to preview settings before confirming them. Since 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach adjusting settings of a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a button for opening a preview window having an image that is changed based on adjustments made on the settings of the display, as taught by Yamamoto. This would allow users to preview settings before confirming them.

12-2. Regarding claim 15, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim as recited in claim 14. 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin do not expressly teach wherein the adjusting window comprises a pattern activating button to open a pattern window having a picture which is changed as the display setting adjustment are made in the adjusting window. Yamamoto teaches an image processing

Art Unit: 2173

method and apparatus for adjusting settings of an image [*column 2, lines 27-32*]. Users can adjust settings of the monitor and then preview the adjusted settings [*figures 7, 6*]. This allows the user to preview settings before confirming them. Since 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach adjusting settings of a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a button for opening a preview window having a picture that is changed based on adjustments made in the adjustment window, as taught by Yamamoto. This would allow users to preview settings before confirming them.

12-3. Regarding claim 19, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim as recited in claim 18. 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin do not expressly teach wherein the color temperature adjusting window comprises a pattern activating button, wherein when the pattern activating button is selected, a color temperature pattern window, having a picture with a color temperature that is changed as the color temperature is adjusted in the color temperature adjustment window, is opened. Yamamoto teaches an image processing method and apparatus for adjusting settings of an image [*column 2, lines 27-32*]. Users can adjust settings of the monitor, including color temperature, and then preview the adjusted settings [*figures 7, 6*]. This allows the user to preview settings before confirming them. Since 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach adjusting settings of a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a button for opening a preview window having a picture with a color

Art Unit: 2173

temperature that is changed as the color temperature is adjusted in a color temperature adjusting window, as taught by Yamamoto. This would allow users to preview settings before confirming them.

13. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), Fukumoto et al (Pub. No. US 2002/0054146 A1), Naito (U.S. Patent No. 6,693,629 B1), McLaughlin et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,739,809), and Hidetoshi (JP 09-281942).

13-1. Regarding claim 13, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim as recited in claim 11. 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin further teach wherein the adjusting window comprises an undo button to undo the display setting adjustment, by disclosing a cancel button as shown in *[3Dlabs, page 20, figure]*.

3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach an animation window to show an animation of the display setting adjustment, by disclosing that the main display displays current settings such as brightness and contrast as they are adjusted by the user *[McLaughlin, column 9, lines 35-40]*.

3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin do not expressly teach a reset button to return the display setting to a default setting. Hidetoshi teaches screen adjustment for display devices *[paragraph 1]*. A reset button is provided that cancels any adjustments made and returns screen settings to a standard value *[paragraph 13]*.

Art Unit: 2173

This allows users to restore default screen settings. Since 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach adjusting a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a reset button for returning the display setting to a default setting, as taught by Hidetoshi. This is useful in cases where a user wishes to cancel any adjustments made and restore the screen settings to a default setting.

13-2. Regarding claim 16, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim as recited in claim 14. 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin further teach wherein the adjusting window comprises an undo button to undo a position adjustment, by disclosing a cancel button as shown in *[3Dlabs, page 20, figure]*.

3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach an animation window to show an animation of the display setting adjustment, by disclosing that the main display displays current settings of the position as they are adjusted by the user *[McLaughlin, column 12, lines 54-58]*.

3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin do not expressly teach a reset button to return the display setting to a default setting. Hidetoshi teaches screen adjustment for display devices *[paragraph 1]*. A reset button is provided that cancels any adjustments made and returns screen settings to a standard value *[paragraph 13]*. This allows users to restore default screen settings. Since 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach adjusting a display device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a reset

Art Unit: 2173

button for returning the display setting to a default setting, as taught by Hidetoshi. This is useful in cases where a user wishes to cancel any adjustments made and restore the screen settings to a default setting.

14. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), Fukumoto et al (Pub. No. US 2002/0054146 A1), Naito (U.S. Patent No. 6,693,629 B1), McLaughlin et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,739,809), and Applicant's admission of prior art.

14-1. Regarding claim 21, 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin teach the claim as recited in claim 9. 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin further teach wherein the menu further comprises addition buttons for opening additional option and support windows, by disclosing the hierarchical menu as shown in [*Fukumoto, figure 2*]. The main menu contains a various setting button that allows users to further select additional options. Examiner notes that there are no limitations within the claim that associate any further functional control with the buttons. 3Dlabs, Ross, Fukumoto, Naito, and McLaughlin do not expressly teach a magic bright, preference, upgrade, technical, asset ID, and version button. The statement that it is common to include additional buttons on a main menu for providing functional operations as well as display descriptive information about the application being used is taken to be admitted prior art because Applicant has failed to traverse the Examiner's assertion of official notice. See

Art Unit: 2173

MPEP 2144.03 C. The additional buttons would provide a user intuitive method of selecting a function of the program. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the additional buttons on the menu, since Applicant admits that it is common to include additional buttons on a main menu for providing functional operations as well as display descriptive information about the application being used.

15. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000), Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), and Arai (Pub. No. US 2003/0197659 A1).

15-1. Regarding claim 27, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim as recited in claim 22. 3Dlabs and Ross do not expressly teach the claim wherein the interface employs a Display Data Channel Common Interface. Arai teaches a computer (11) connected to an image display apparatus (13) *[figure 1]*. A two-way communication interface such as DDC-CI may be used *[paragraph 87]*. Using the standard simplifies software and hardware configurations in the PC and the display monitor. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use DDC-CI as an interface to communicate with the computer, as taught by Arai. This would simplify software and hardware configurations in the PC and the display monitor.

15-2. Regarding claim 28, 3Dlabs and Ross teach the claim as recited in claim 22. 3Dlabs and Ross do not expressly teach wherein the interface employs a Universal Serial Bus (USB). Arai teaches a computer (11) connected to an image display apparatus (13) *[figure 1]*. A two-way communication interface such as USB may be used *[paragraph 87]*. Using the standard simplifies software and hardware configurations in the PC and the display monitor. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use USB as an interface to communicate with the computer, as taught by Arai. This would simplify software and hardware configurations in the PC and the display monitor.

Response to Arguments

16. The Examiner acknowledges the Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 2, 22, and 29. Regarding independent claim 1, the Applicant alleges that 3Dlabs, Inc. ("Wildcat II 5110 Graphics Accelerator Card User's Guide", 2000) and Ross (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,029), as described in the previous Office action, do not explicitly teach the step button and menu buttons displayed on a main window. Contrary to Applicant's arguments, 3Dlabs discloses *[page 19]* which shows a main window with a button labeled "Config Wizard" that activates a step-by-step interface to help modify a current display configuration or create a new one *[page 18, "Configuring the Video Display"]* as well as tabs to separately adjust resolution and color. The "Config Wizard" button may be considered the step button. The "Color Management" and "Wildcat Monitor" may be

Art Unit: 2173

considered separate menu buttons to adjust display setting groups, since selecting them will allow the user to adjust color or resolution.

Applicant alleges that 3Dlabs and Ross do not expressly teach, “a step button to adjust the plurality of display settings sequentially.” Examiner notes that the “plurality of display settings” simply refers to at least two display settings that a user may change. No specific order is specified on which display setting is presented to the user for adjustment. The limitation only requires that they be presented one after the other. Contrary to Applicant’s arguments, 3Dlabs discloses that the wizard is a step-by-step interface that helps the user modify the current display configuration or create a new one [page 18]. This step-by-step process indicates a sequential process. Display configuration refers to resolution, color depth, refresh rate, and color settings as described on [page 20].

Independent claims 22 and 29 have been similarly amended and thus, the claims have been rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103 as being unpatentable under 3Dlabs and Ross.

Applicant states that dependent claims 2-21, 23-28, and 31 recite all the limitations of the independent claims, and thus, are allowable in view of the remarks set forth regarding independent claims 1, 22, and 29. However, as discussed above, 3Dlabs and Ross are considered to teach claims 1, 22, and 29, and consequently, claims 2-21, 23-28, and 31 are rejected.

Conclusion

17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN H. TAN whose telephone number is (571)272-8595. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kieu Vu can be reached on 571-272-4057. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2173

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHT
Assistant Examiner
Art Unit 2173

/Tadesse Hailu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173